

YITP-02-60
hep-th/0209220

Dualities of the entropy bound

Naoki SASAKURA*

*Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University,
Kyoto 606-8502, Japan*

September, 2002

Abstract

I study the hypothetical thermodynamic system which saturates the so-called Hubble entropy bound and show that it is invariant under the S- and T-dualities of string theory as well as the interchanges of the eleventh dimension of M-theory. I also discuss how unique the entropy bound is under the dualities and some related issues.

*sasakura@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp

It was argued by Atick and Witten [1] that the high temperature behavior of the string theory free energy with volume V and temperature T is given by ¹

$$F_{string} \sim -VT^2. \quad (1)$$

They compared this behavior with the quantum field theory behavior in d spatial dimensions,

$$F_{qft} \sim -VT^{d+1}, \quad (2)$$

and gave the interpretations that the fundamental degrees of freedom in string theory are much less than those of quantum field theory in the same dimensions, and that the theory underlying string theory should be like a $(1+1)$ -dimensional quantum field theory. This dramatic interpretation is fascinating and valuable to pursue further, but the perturbative method of string theory has been limited by the existence of the Hagedorn transition and, despite various efforts, the validity of this conjecture has been remaining unclear. Nevertheless, there is also another interesting observation to understand the behavior (1) [1, 2]. Assuming the T-duality along the compact temperature direction² and equating the partition functions of the both sides of the T-duality, the following equation holds;

$$\frac{1}{T}F_{string}(T) = \frac{T}{T_H^2}F_{string}(T_H^2/T), \quad (3)$$

where T_H is the Hagedorn temperature. The high temperature behavior (1) is obtained from (3) by assuming the non-vanishing of $F_{string}(0)$.

A hypothetical thermodynamic system with a similar temperature behavior of free energy can be obtained from the entropy bound which has recently appeared. A universal entropy bound dates back from the proposal of Bekenstein [3] that the total entropy of an isolated system with energy E and scale R should be bounded by ER . This entropy bound is a quantity conserved under the adiabatic change of thermal radiation matters, and therefore has a good reason to believe that it be applicable generally to quantum field theory. Moreover, combined with the Schwarzschild bound $GE < R^{d-2}$, the entropy bound becomes R^{d-1}/G , which agrees with the holography hypothesis [4, 5]³. On the other hand, the entropy bound which appeared

¹In this paper, for notational simplicity, I omit the α' parameter. I do not also care about inessential numerical factors. On the other hand, I take care much about dilaton dependence.

²Because of the complications of the boundary conditions of fermions in the temperature direction, the T-duality of temperature does not hold in the naive sense as above in superstring theory. Also it was argued that the duality symmetry should be spontaneously broken in the heterotic string theory [1]. Hence the above discussions of T-duality should be regarded as a formal discussion to intuitively understand how the behavior (1) is characteristic of string theory.

³On more precise discussions about the relations between the entropy bounds and the holography hypothesis, see [6].

recently has, among various formulations, essentially the form⁴ [7]

$$S = \sqrt{\frac{EV}{G}}, \quad (4)$$

where G is the gravitational coupling constant. In string theory, this formula is written as

$$S = e^{-\phi} \sqrt{EV}, \quad (5)$$

where ϕ is the dilaton with the string coupling constant defined by $g = e^\phi$. Considering a hypothetical thermodynamic system which saturates the entropy bound (5) and using the first law of thermodynamics, its free energy becomes

$$F = -e^{-2\phi} VT^2, \quad (6)$$

where I have neglected an inessential numerical factor.

The difference between (6) and the string theory free energy (1) is the dilaton dependence. This difference is essential. In perturbative string theory, to obtain the temperature dependent part of the free energy, it is necessary for a string world sheet to wind around the compact temperature direction, and there is no genus-zero contribution like (6). Moreover the motivations for the entropy bound (4) come from cosmological considerations [8, 9, 10], a space-time uncertainty relation based on general relativity and quantum mechanics [7], and a generalization of (curved space)/CFT correspondence [11]. The hypothetical matter satisfying (6) is the stiffest matter and is the main object in the cosmology of [12]. Thus the entropy bound (5) originated from these rather macroscopic considerations, and there seem to be no reasons to think about the possibility of deriving (6) from any microscopic computations of string theory. Nevertheless, in this paper, I will point out that the formula (6) satisfies the duality symmetries of string theory and a requirement from M-theory. Assuming the duality invariance and that the free energy be proportional to the volume, the formula will be shown to be unique. These properties are quite amusing, suggesting that (6) might have a microscopic origin in string theory. In fact, in the original paper by Atick and Witten [1], the possibility of genus-zero contributions above the Hagedorn transition was pointed out. I will discuss this possibility further at the end of this paper.

To show the duality invariance of the free energy (6), let me recapitulate the T- and S-duality transformations of string theory [13, 14]. I take the space to be a periodic box (torus) of nine dimensions, and the string metric is assumed to be of the form

$$ds_{string}^2 = -e^{2\psi} dt^2 + \sum_{i=1}^9 e^{2\lambda_i} dx_i^2, \quad (7)$$

⁴The Hubble entropy bound is given by $S_H = HV/G$, where H is the Hubble parameter [10]. Using the Einstein equation $H^2 \sim GE/V$, the above expression (4) is derived.

where the metric depends only on time. I also assume that the dilaton field depends only on time. Then the string theory gravity-dilaton effective action in the lowest order approximation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{string} &= - \int dt d^9x \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} (R + 4(D\phi)^2) \\ &= -V_9 \int dt e^{-\psi-\varphi} \left(\sum_{i=1}^9 \dot{\lambda}_i^2 - \dot{\varphi}^2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where V_9 is the spatial volume in the unit of x , and

$$\varphi = 2\phi - \sum_{i=1}^9 \lambda_i. \quad (9)$$

This string theory effective action is invariant under the following T-duality transformations for a direction i ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_i &\rightarrow -\lambda_i, \\ \phi &\rightarrow \phi - \lambda_i, \\ \psi &\rightarrow \psi, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

and the S-duality transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &\rightarrow -\phi, \\ \lambda_i &\rightarrow \lambda_i - \frac{\phi}{2} \quad (\text{for all } i), \\ \psi &\rightarrow \psi - \frac{\phi}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Following the method of [15], the effective action for the thermodynamic system associated to (6) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{hyp} &= \int dt \sqrt{-g_{00}} F(\phi, \lambda_i, \beta \sqrt{-g_{00}}), \\ &= -V_9 \int dt e^{-\psi-\varphi} T^2. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where β is the inverse of the temperature. The g_{00} dependences are necessary for the reparameterization invariance of the time direction. The temperature T here is measured in the unit of the inverse of time t , and is therefore invariant under the duality transformations, which are defined as transformations on the background fields. The combination $-\psi-\varphi$ is obviously invariant under the dualities (10) and (11), and so is the action (12).

Now let me start from the assumption that the free energy be proportional to the volume. Then the T-duality transformation (10) requires that the dilaton field must be combined

with the volume in the form $\varphi = 2\phi - \sum_{i=1}^9 \lambda_i$. At this point I am not demanding that another dilaton dependence is impossible in string theory. If I consider another form of the dilaton dependence, I will need other terms with non-local $1/R_i = e^{-\lambda_i}$ behaviors as well as the local $R_i = e^{\lambda_i}$ ones. Because of the winding modes of string, the non-local behaviors certainly appear when the compact directions are in the order of the string scale. Nevertheless the free energy (6) is peculiar in the sense that it is duality invariant purely with the term proportional to the volume. This fact may imply that the local degrees of freedom of the hypothetical system is given purely by the proposal of Atick-Witten without any complications of non-locality. Assuming this volume-dilaton dependence, an expression of the action with the reparameterization invariance of t must be in the form

$$\int dt \sqrt{-g_{00}} e^{-\varphi} h(T/\sqrt{-g_{00}}), \quad (13)$$

where h is an arbitrary function. φ is not invariant under the S-duality (11), and to cancel the variation by a g_{00} dependence, the unique choice is the form $h(x) \sim x^2$. Thus the expression (6) can be obtained from the assumption that the free energy be proportional to the volume and invariant under the T- and S-dualities.

It is also interesting to look at (6) from the M-theory viewpoint [16, 17]. The type IIA string theory is obtained by compactifying one of the M-theory spatial dimensions, say the eleventh dimension. The relation between the M-theory metric and the string metric is given by [18]

$$ds_M^2 = g_{\mu\nu}^M dx^\mu dx^\nu = e^{-\frac{2}{3}\phi} ds_{string}^2 + e^{\frac{4}{3}\phi} dy^2, \quad (14)$$

where y is the eleventh dimension. Rewriting with the M-theory metric, the action (12) becomes

$$S_M = - \int d^{11}x \sqrt{-g^M} \left(\frac{T}{\sqrt{-g_{00}^M}} \right)^2. \quad (15)$$

Therefore, the free energy of the hypothetical system in M-theory is

$$F_M = -V_{10}T^2, \quad (16)$$

where V_{10} is the ten-dimensional spatial volume of M-theory. This expression shows that the eleventh dimension is treated equivalently with the other spatial dimensions. Hence the expression (6) is invariant under the exchange of the eleventh dimension with the compactified dimensions of string theory. It is also clear that, if I impose this exchange symmetry on (13), the unique choice of the free energy is (6). This implies that I may impose this exchange symmetry instead of S-duality to obtain the expression (6) from the assumption that the free

energy be proportional to volume. Amusingly the expression (16) suggests that, the local degrees of freedom of M-theory behaves like a (1+1)-dimensional field theory as string theory rather than (1+2) as would be a natural expectation from that M-theory is often referred as membrane theory. Since all the spatial directions are totally equivalent in (16), it would be hard to imagine that the temperature dependence has been modified in the process of compactifying the eleventh dimension to obtain the IIA string theory.

In this paper, I have shown how unique the expressions (5) and (6) are in the viewpoint of string/M-theory. Comparing with the macroscopic derivations of these formulas, it seems surprising for these formulas to satisfy the microscopic requirements of dualities. But are there any chances to obtain the expression (6) directly in string theory? As explicitly shown in [1], the Hagedorn transition is caused by the instability of a stringy mode which winds around the compact temperature direction. The mode will condense above the Hagedorn transition and allow genus-zero contributions to appear by making tiny holes with non-zero winding numbers on string world sheets. But in a low order approximation of string theory effective action, there were no stable minima and it was impossible to evaluate the free energy above the Hagedorn transition [1]. On the other hand, assuming this story and the existence of a stable minimum, because the condensation is spatially local, the free energy would be dominated by a genus-zero contribution proportional to the volume,

$$F \sim e^{-2\phi} V h(T), \quad (17)$$

where $h(T)$ is a function of the temperature. This takes the same form as (13), and, as argued above, if I impose the S-duality (11) or the exchange symmetry of the eleventh dimension of M-theory, the free energy is constrained to the form (6). Thus there seems to exist a good chance of obtaining the free energy expression (6) by a string theory computation which respects the duality symmetries and is not limited by the string world sheet picture. This high requirement of a non-perturbative formulation of string theory seems to make the formula (6) a fascinating primary goal for understanding string theory beyond the Hagedorn transition. But this line of thought is obscured by the fact that, if the relevant temperature is the order of or above the Hagedorn transition, there will be no controllable parameters to slow down the background evolution caused by the genus-zero contributions and the static approximation justifying the thermodynamic treatment will be no longer valid [1].

References

- [1] J. J. Atick and E. Witten, “The Hagedorn Transition And The Number Of Degrees Of Freedom Of String Theory,” Nucl. Phys. B **310**, 291 (1988).

- [2] See also Chapter 9 of J. Polchinski, “String Theory. Vol. 1: An Introduction To The Bosonic String,” *Cambridge, UK: Univ. Pr. (1998) 402 p.*
- [3] J. D. Bekenstein, “A Universal Upper Bound On The Entropy To Energy Ratio For Bounded Systems,” *Phys. Rev. D* **23**, 287 (1981).
- [4] G. ’t Hooft, “Dimensional Reduction In Quantum Gravity,” *arXiv:gr-qc/9310026*.
- [5] L. Susskind, “The World as a hologram,” *J. Math. Phys.* **36**, 6377 (1995) [*arXiv:hep-th/9409089*].
- [6] E. E. Flanagan, D. Marolf and R. M. Wald, “Proof of Classical Versions of the Bousso Entropy Bound and of the Generalized Second Law,” *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 084035 (2000) [*arXiv:hep-th/9908070*].
- [7] N. Sasakura, “An uncertainty relation of space-time,” *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **102**, 169 (1999) [*arXiv:hep-th/9903146*].
- [8] W. Fischler and L. Susskind, “Holography and cosmology,” *arXiv:hep-th/9806039*.
- [9] R. Bousso, “A Covariant Entropy Conjecture,” *JHEP* **9907**, 004 (1999) [*arXiv:hep-th/9905177*]; “Holography in general space-times,” *JHEP* **9906**, 028 (1999) [*arXiv:hep-th/9906022*].
- [10] G. Veneziano, “Pre-bangian origin of our entropy and time arrow,” *Phys. Lett. B* **454**, 22 (1999) [*arXiv:hep-th/9902126*]; R. Brustein and G. Veneziano, “A Causal Entropy Bound,” *arXiv:hep-th/9912055*.
- [11] E. Verlinde, “On the holographic principle in a radiation dominated universe,” *arXiv:hep-th/0008140*.
- [12] T. Banks and W. Fischler, “An holographic cosmology,” *arXiv:hep-th/0111142*.
- [13] K. Kikkawa and M. Yamasaki, “Casimir Effects In Superstring Theories,” *Phys. Lett. B* **149**, 357 (1984); N. Sakai and I. Senda, “Vacuum Energies Of String Compactified On Torus,” *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **75**, 692 (1986) [Erratum-ibid. **77**, 773 (1987)].
- [14] See for example, J. Polchinski, “String Theory. Vol. 1: An Introduction To The Bosonic String,” *Cambridge, UK: Univ. Pr. (1998) 402 p.*; “String Theory. Vol. 2: Superstring Theory And Beyond,” *Cambridge, UK: Univ. Pr. (1998) 531 p.*
- [15] A. A. Tseytlin and C. Vafa, “Elements of string cosmology,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **372**, 443 (1992) [*arXiv:hep-th/9109048*].

- [16] P. K. Townsend, “The eleven-dimensional supermembrane revisited,” B **350**, 184 (1995) [arXiv:hep-th/9501068].
- [17] E. Witten, “String theory dynamics in various dimensions,” arXiv:hep-th/9503124.
- [18] P. K. Townsend, “Four lectures on M-theory,” in *C96-06-10.2* arXiv:hep-th/9612121.